

Cover Letter for the Third-Stage Submission of G-OS / PEACE-MAKER

Submission Type: Integrated Dossier (Third-Stage) — Structural Volume, Operational Volume, Executive Summary

To: International Standards Bodies, Research Community, and Governance Stakeholders

Dear Colleagues,

I am pleased to submit the third-stage dossier of *G-OS / PEACE-MAKER*, a governance operating system designed to ensure stable, drift-free, and institution-compatible AI behavior across international, national, organizational, and individual layers.

This submission consolidates the core principle and unified causal architecture that define G-OS and distinguish it as a model-free, hardware-agnostic governance framework.

Fundamental Principle (Non-Negotiable):

Human command authority must remain external, immutable, and final. AI systems may propose, evaluate, and present information, but only humans make binding decisions.

Unified Causal Line:

Value → Back → Gate → Front → Human

This causal line forms the minimal governance skeleton of G-OS, enabling drift-free behavior, scale-free inheritance, and institution-level compatibility.

This dossier consists of the following three documents:

- **Structural Volume** — defining the governance OS architecture, including the externally fixed Value Layer, the single Integration Gate, the unified causal line, and the scale-free governance inheritance model.
- **Operational Volume** — detailing migration requirements, parallel operation, production transition, and incident response procedures, including the role of Pseudo-G-OS as a continuously running mirror environment for causal verification and operational resilience.
- **Executive Summary for International Standards** — providing a concise overview of the system's alignment with OECD AI Principles, the EU AI Act, ISO/IEC 42001, and UN/ITU AI governance frameworks.

Together, these documents present a coherent governance operating system: the *Structural Volume* defines the causal architecture, the *Operational Volume* specifies its real-world execution, and the *Executive Summary* positions the system within the global AI governance landscape.

This third-stage submission is intended to support continued dialogue with researchers, policymakers, and institutional stakeholders, and to prepare for formal international review and standardization processes.

I look forward to further collaborative examination toward the development of safe, stable, and internationally compatible AI governance.

Sincerely,
[0.6em] **Yasuhiro Azuma**
PEACE-MAKER Institute
Tokyo, Japan